

WAVERLEY BOROUGH COUNCIL
MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE JOINT PLANNING COMMITTEE
20TH MARCH 2013

SUBMITTED TO THE COUNCIL MEETING – 23RD APRIL 2013

(To be read in conjunction with the Agenda for the Meeting)

- | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------|
| * Cllr Brian Ellis | * Cllr Carole King |
| Cllr Peter Isherwood | Cllr Nicky Lee |
| * Cllr Stella Andersen-Payne | * Cllr Bryn Morgan |
| * Cllr Maurice Byham | Cllr Stephen O'Grady |
| * Cllr Elizabeth Cable | Cllr Julia Potts |
| * Cllr Mary Foryszewski | * Cllr Stefan Reynolds |
| Cllr Pat Frost | * Cllr Jane Thomson |
| * Cllr Richard Gates | * Cllr Brett Vorley |
| * Cllr Michael Goodridge | Cllr John Ward |
| Cllr Jill Hargreaves | * Cllr Liz Wheatley |
| * Cllr Stephen Hill | * Cllr Nick Williams |
| * Cllr Simon Inchbald | |

*Present

Other Councillors in attendance: Councillors Peter Martin, Tom Martin, Keith Webster and Lynn Graffham.

17. MINUTES (Agenda Item 1)

The Minutes of the Meeting held on 6th August 2012 were confirmed and signed.

18. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE (Agenda Item 2)

Apologies were received from Councillors Peter Isherwood, Pat Frost, Jill Hargreaves, Nicky Lee, Stephen O'Grady, Julia Potts and John Ward.

19. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST (Agenda Item 3)

There were no declarations of interest.

20. QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC (Agenda Item 4)

There were no questions from members of the public.

PART I – RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE COUNCIL

There were no matters raised under this heading.

PARTS II AND III- MATTERS OF REPORT

Background Papers

The background papers relating to the following item in Parts II and III are as specified in the Agenda for the meeting of the Joint Planning Committee.

PART II – Matters reported in detail for the information of the Council

There were no matters raised under this heading.

PART III – Brief summaries of other matters dealt with

21. LAND ADJACENT TO MILFORD HOSPITAL (UPPER TUESLEY) TUESLEY LANE, GODALMING, GU7 1UE (Agenda Item 5)
 - 21.1 Outline application for demolition of existing buildings and redevelopment of land adjoining Milford Hospital, Tuesley Lane to provide 104 new (Class C3) residential units, works to 12 existing residential units (The Crescent), works to Allison House and staff cottages to provide 4 (Class C3) residential units, access and diversion of Public Footpath 161, Busbridge. This application is accompanied by an Environmental Statement at Land Adjacent to Milford Hospital (Upper Tuesley), Tuesley Lane Godalming GU7 1UE (as amended by bat and dormice surveys received 11/12/2012, email dated 30/1/2013, plans received 01/03/2013, 06/02/2013 and 08/02/2013 and letters dated 07/12/2012 and 18/01/2013).
 - 21.2 With reference to the report circulated with the agenda, Officers presented a summary of the planning history of the site and the current plans and proposals including the determining issues for the Committee to consider broken down into those that were matters of judgement, main issues of principle/technical guidance and reserved matters. Officers also updated the Committee on consultee responses and correspondence received following publication of the agenda, which were noted in the update report circulated before the meeting. This included details of an infrastructure agreement, consultee responses from the Ramblers Society, Countryside Access Officer, 14 additional representations of which 13 were raising objection to the scheme and there was one in support. A petition of 160 e-mails had also been submitted to the Council raising objection to the proposals.
 - 21.3 The Committee was also advised that since the publication of the papers, officers had negotiated with the County Highway Authority a no left hand turn out of the site and also agreement to retain parking in the layby. There was also an amendment to the recommendation in relation to secondary education that “an enhanced contribution be negotiated” and the Council had also received notice that Surrey Wildlife raised no objection to the scheme.
 - 21.4 In accordance with the guidance for public participation at meetings, each party (objectors, Town/Parish Councils’, applicant/supporters) had been allocated up to 10 minutes to speak and those councillors who had registered to speak (but were not members of the Committee) had 4 minutes each. The

following representations were made in respect of the application and were duly considered:

Objectors

Colin Cox and Simon Heilpern

Parish Council's

John Graves (Busbridge)
Stewart Payne (Hambleton)
Nick Holder (Witley)

Applicant/Supporters

Ken Glendinning

Councillors (non-Committee Members) registered to speak

Cllr Peter Martin
Cllr Tom Martin
Cllr Keith Webster
Cllr Lynn Graffham

- 21.5 The Committee considered the report, representations and consultee responses in detail, and focused debate specifically on public safety and the proposed traffic mitigation measures which Members did not feel were enough considering the size of the development and its rural location. There was also discussion about the number of affordable homes proposed on the site being too few, not enough play areas being provided for young people and the proposed infrastructure contributions, in some areas, not being realistic estimates.
- 21.6 Having considered all relevant matters, the Committee considered the revised recommendation, including amendments to conditions outlined in the update sheet and unanimously RESOLVED that a decision on the application be DEFERRED for approximately 8 weeks for officers to discuss with the applicants some of the concerns raised above and for further negotiations with Surrey County Council regarding the contributions and County Highways for more thorough and detailed traffic mitigation measures for the development.

The meeting commenced at 7.00pm and concluded at 9.24pm

Chairman